

Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Thursday 16 June 2022

10:00

Oak Room, County Buildings, Stafford

The meeting will be webcast live which can be viewed at any time here:

<https://staffordshire.public-i.tv/core/portal/home>

John Tradewell
Director of Corporate Services
8 June 2022

A G E N D A

1. **Apologies**
2. **Declarations of Interest**
3. **Minutes of the meeting held on 21 April 2022** (Pages 1 - 6)
4. **Neglect** (Pages 7 - 10)
Report of the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People
5. **Community Safety & the Outcome of the Fishmonger Hall Inquiry**
Update by the Chairman
6. **Work Programme Planning** (Pages 11 - 18)
7. **Exclusion of the Public**

The Chairman to move:-

"That the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business which involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the paragraphs of Schedule 12A (as amended) of the Local Government Act 1972 indicated below".

Part Two

(All reports in this section are exempt)

nil

Membership

Gill Burnett (Vice-Chairman (Overview))	Johnny McMahon
Janet Eagland	Gillian Pardesi
Richard Ford (Vice-Chairman (Scrutiny))	Kath Perry, MBE
Derrick Huckfield	Bob Spencer (Chairman)
Jason Jones	Mike Wilcox

Notes for Members of the Press and Public

Filming of Meetings

Staffordshire County Council is defined as a Data Controller under the Data Protection Act 2018. The County Council has agreed that Public meetings should be the subject of live web transmission 'webcasting'. Fixed cameras are located within meeting room for this purpose.

The webcast will be live on the County Council's website and recorded for subsequent play-back for 12 months. The recording will also be uploaded to YouTube. By entering the meeting room and using the seats around the meeting tables you are deemed to be consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for the purpose of webcasting.

If you have privacy concerns about the webcast or do not wish to have your image captured then please contact the Member and Democratic Services officer named at the top right of the agenda.

Recording by Press and Public

Recording (including by the use of social media) by the Press and Public is permitted from the public seating area provided it does not, in the opinion of the chairman, disrupt the meeting.

**Minutes of the Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee
Meeting held on 21 April 2022**

Present: Bob Spencer (Chairman)

Attendance

Gill Burnett (Vice-Chairman (Overview))	Gillian Pardesi
Richard Ford (Vice-Chairman (Scrutiny))	Mike Wilcox
Peter Kruskonjic	

Also in attendance: Mark Sutton

Apologies: Janet Eagland, Kath Perry, MBE and Jill Waring

PART ONE

1. Declarations of Interest

There were none at this meeting.

2. Minutes of the previous meeting held on 10 January 2022

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 10 January 2022 be confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

3. Young Carers

The Overview and Scrutiny Committee had requested details of the service provision for young carers, and now considered a presentation outlining Staffordshire's provision. A new all age carers strategy had been developed with the five Staffordshire Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) "All Together for Carers (2019-2023)". Engagement activities whilst developing the strategy had shown that pathways and processes for carers were at times unclear, with no single point for initial contact. It had also highlighted that: practice around carers was variable depending on assessment; commissioned services were not widely known or used; carers wanted a break from caring, with a few hours to pursue an interest often being enough; young carers said their highest need was for support to manage their caring role in the home; and, a need to better identify carers to avoid carer crisis.

It was estimated there were approximately 2000 young carers across Staffordshire.

Implementation of the Staffordshire Together for Carers Service was slightly delayed due to the Pandemic, but finally went live in April 2021. It is an all-age carers service with one lead commissioned provider, n-compass, allowing a more straightforward and clearer contractual arrangement. The initial support and assessment had been brought in-house to enable a one-stop-shop for initial contact. The service pathway aimed to provide:

- high quality and easily accessible information, advice and guidance that explained when and how to find support and who was likely to be eligible, including an initial assessment on need and active referral on to preventative support and beyond as necessary;
- an enhanced range of preventative support for carers of all ages in the community to prevent, reduce or delay the need for additional and higher cost support;
- further assessment and support planning where necessary and access to formal support to meet assessed eligible needs on a basis that was transparent and equitable.

Members received details of service pathways and were pleased to note that work was ongoing with schools in helping to identify young carers.

Members considered the eight generic service outcomes and, in particular, the one specific to young carers, "children & young people with caring responsibilities have the support they need to learn, develop, thrive and enjoy positive childhoods". Measures of success in achieving this outcome were highlighted as young carers reporting that they knew who to speak to for support, an increase in the number of Young Carers known to their school or education provider in order that appropriate support could be provided when required, with the aim of improving attendance, improving emotional wellbeing and reducing risk. A further measure of success was that 95% of Young Carers that wanted to, had been offered access to peer support and a break from their caring role.

The Committee considered performance data from the three-quarter reports to date. They were pleased to note that further work would be developed to enable a greater clarity in this reporting, with more depth behind the figures given and some reported figures sub-divided to give a more accurate reflection of the caring activity undertaken.

Members discussed concerns around the amount of caring activity young carers may be undertaking and asked what an acceptable level would be. Clear guidelines were set out for this, and part of the initial triage and assessment process would consider the level of care given and refer to n-compass and/or adult care services for support as appropriate. The triage

service would also take account of the type of care given and the number of hours undertaken.

The Committee raised the issue of respite care to enable young carers to have a break from their caring responsibilities. Whilst n-compass provided short breaks once a year, there wasn't currently a more frequent overnight respite type facility. The Cabinet Member informed the Committee that this was something he would take back, giving consideration to the possibility of more frequent short respite offers.

Members wanted to reassure themselves that in supporting young carers the County Council was not simply enabling them to continue in inappropriate roles. There was a particular concern around the performance measure of the number of young carers who cared for between 11-30 hours per week, feeling a caring role for 30 hours per week could be seen as child exploitation. There was a need to understand the figures better, looking at the individual situations and the type of care given. It was also important to understand whether this was care for individuals with an assessed need or needs that didn't qualify for statutory services. Where an individual met the need for statutory services a referral to adult social care would be made.

Members were informed that work was undertaken to hear the voice of the young carer and that skilled and well-trained officers undertook the initial triage process to help establish the level and types of care given and any impact on the young carers wellbeing. Members asked for the opportunity to meet young carers to reassure themselves first hand of the support and service provided. This may be something The Voice Project could facilitate.

RESOLVED: That:

- a) the implementation of the new Together for Carers Service be welcomed;
- b) developments in the type of data recorded to enable a clearer picture of types and hours of caring undertaken to supported; and
- c) an opportunity for the Committee to meet with young carers to hear their experience of the service first hand be explored.

4. Community Support (incl Dom Care) and 1-1 Intensive Support for Children with Disabilities

Developments to home care & community-based support for children & young people with disabilities in Staffordshire had been scrutinised by the Committee in June 2021 prior to a Cabinet decision on the new integrated service. At that meeting the Scrutiny Committee had requested a further report in six months to establish progress made.

Members were reminded of the challenging marketplace issues that had influenced the changes, including staffing shortages across the sector locally as well as nationally, in both adults and children's social care. There had also been limited choice of provision in Staffordshire within the children's framework, with just five providers then available.

Progress since the Cabinet decision in July 2021 was shared with the Committee, including:

- a full open tender for all four service types;
- flexible framework contracts to be in place with 11 providers from 1 April 2022. Utilising this flexible framework procurement approach enabled it to be re-opened at specific intervals to allow providers to be added on a contracted basis as appropriate;
- shortfalls in capacity and choice had been addressed to support children and young people with complex needs and/or who needed urgent care, with at least six contracted providers now covering each double district operational area;
- seven new entrants to the children's market, some of whom currently delivered adult care services to Staffordshire residents and some new entrants from neighbouring authority areas;
- specifications for new services written alongside those for the new Tier 2 Family Support providers, which included new requirements for early help services to support children and young people with Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND) to prevent, reduce or delay additional need;
- better linking between the Aiming High Short Breaks for Children with SEND programme and the Healthy Activities and Food (HAF) funded activities for families in food poverty, enabling a broader range of accessible support for families caring for a child with SEND.

Market development and commercial work still to be developed included:

- further options for home care, for this reason the framework would be re-opened in October following further engagement activity throughout the summer, which it was hoped would encourage further new entrants;
- 1-1 short breaks support was currently limited to 3-4 providers in some areas. Some providers had indicated that their own capacity to submit multiple tenders had inhibited their involvement in this service tender, however they remained interested in joining the Framework, subject to the usual commercial and procurement processes and SCC due diligence;
- further work to be undertaken with the District Operational Teams to reduce or eliminate emergency care provision being sourced outside of SCC's frameworks, and to manage associated costs;
- to ameliorate the continuing challenges of independent sector providers, plans were being developed to pilot in-house delivery from summer 2022 onwards, focusing on the East Staffs District

initially to test viability, as East Staffs was the area with the most limited provider options currently;

- consideration of the balance between spend on Direct Payments (DP) (which was falling) and agency care (currently rising) and ways to address the number of DP hand backs, reviewing the hourly DP rate.

Members welcomed the progress made. They noted the reduction in use of DP, querying the reasons for this. DP saw the service users have employer responsibilities, which some families had found difficult to navigate. This had accelerated throughout Covid restrictions. There was a need to highlight again the benefits of DP, for example enabling families to have more control of the service provided and ensure consistency of carer. A better DP pay rate was being proposed, in line with the increase recently introduced for adult services, which would enable families to pay at least the National Living Plus 5%. There would be significant cost implications if the movement away from DP to agency care was not addressed.

Members asked what efforts were made to ensure consistency of carers where agency care was used. Whilst consistency of carer was preferable and work continued to encourage this to happen as far as possible, it did not happen in all cases.

Members were pleased to note the excellent work from providers for holiday activities. They also noted there was funding for the activity for three years, ensuring stability for this provision.

RESOLVED: That the Committee were reassured by the progress made, particularly with the increase in provider numbers and in the recognition of potential problems with Direct Payment reductions and the proactive work to address this.

5. Spotlight Review of Sexual Harassment in Education

The Chairman thanked Members of the Spotlight Review for their report, which he felt was useful. He had some reservations that the review had been unable to give an exact measure of how prevalent the issue was in Staffordshire. He also suggested that there was a need to consider evidence based good practice.

Members supported the recommendations and the rationale of the report, which was well thought through.

They endorsed the report, its recommendations and submission to the Cabinet Members for Children and Young people and for Education (and SEND) for their executive response.

Members agreed that an item should be included on the next Scrutiny Chair's Forum agenda to discuss the most appropriate committee to monitor the Cabinet Member response and implementation of recommendations.

RESOLVED: That:

- a) the report and recommendations be endorsed for submission to the Cabinet Members for their executive response, and
- b) the most appropriate approach to monitoring the Cabinet Member response and recommendation implementation be considered at the next Scrutiny Chair's Forum.

6. Work Programme

Members noted the changes to their work programme.

As part of their role as designated crime and disorder panel Members received details of a briefing to the Chairman and Vice Chairmen on discussions at the Cabinet Member for Communities & Cultures' Safe and Strong Communities Strategy Group (SSCSG).

They requested an informal work programme planning meeting be set up prior to their 16 June meeting.

Having trialled a whole committee pre-preview meeting Members asked that this process be adopted for future meetings.

RESOLVED: That:

- a) an informal work programme planning meeting be arranged prior to the 16 June meeting; and
- b) whole committee pre-preview meetings be set up for the next municipal year's Safeguarding O&S calendared meetings.

Chairman

Local Members Interest
N/A

Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee - Thursday 16 June 2022

Neglect

Recommendation

I recommend that the Committee:

- a. Scrutinises the work that has been undertaken so far to improve our response to issues of neglect (which includes low level neglect) on children and young people in Staffordshire.

Report of Cllr Mark Sutton Cabinet Member for Children and Young People

Background

1. In February 2019, the Staffordshire Safeguarding Children's Board (SSCB) commissioned a serious case review in respect of four children who died in a house fire on 5th February 2019. This review was published in November 2020 and made three main findings which resulted in a total of seven recommendations for the Local Authority and the Partnership. These findings were relating to issues around
 - a. developing understanding of impact of neglect on children within the partnership,
 - b. use of appropriate professional curiosity to understand family history and dynamics, and
 - c. ability to understand and act upon a child's lived experience.
2. This report brings together the work that has been undertaken by the Staffordshire Children's Safeguarding Partnership and Children's services since this review.

Summary

3. Following this Serious Case Review, Neglect (including the impact of low-level neglect on children and families) became one of the priorities for the Safeguarding Partnership and has remained on the agenda ever since. The work plan that sits within this priority is reviewed by the

Partnership each year to specify the area within this larger priority that will be addressed.

Progress update:

The awareness and understanding of neglect within the partnership is improving.

4. Staffordshire aims to have a clear strategically driven, multi-agency response to infants at risk of and/ or experiencing neglect, with a well embedded, effective multi-agency strategic approach and assessment framework leading to a reduction in infants at risk of and/ or experiencing neglect and ensuring our most vulnerable families are getting help earlier. To this end we have developed a local protocol for agreement from various strategic partnerships, a local dataset which allows performance review and management at a strategic level, and an annual assurance report which provides the Partnership Board with an overview of progress and improvements.
5. As a Partnership, it was agreed that Staffordshire would implement the use of Graded Care Profile 2 (GCP2) assessment tool. Effective use of this tool by all partner agencies will support our understanding and early identification of neglect (which includes low level neglect) on children and families. GCP2 helps practitioners measure the quality of care a child is receiving and if the child is at risk of neglect. We had ambitious plans for training and implementation, however, our strategy needed to be modified due to COVID restrictions. Despite all the challenges, we know that by the end of 2021-22, we have trained over 30 champions in GCP2, over 1200 people from across the partnership have attended the GCP2 awareness training and conferences, and over 600 staff have been trained in using this tool which assists in identification and evidencing presence of neglect in families.
6. We established a Graded Care Profile 2 Steering Group for a strategic oversight of the roll out and implementation of this programme. We have recently re-instated this group to oversee effective roll out of training and use of this tool within the partnership. We know that whilst staff have been trained, the use of this tool in assessments needs to improve across all tiers of service delivery for children and families.

Our Services are child centred and demonstrate a positive impact on outcomes for children.

7. In Staffordshire, we use extensive audit activity, regular supervisions, performance data, information from complaints and compliments, Learning Hubs, and feedback from children, young people and families

to triangulate the value and impact of our services to children and families.

8. Two thirds of files audited as part of the regular auditing of children's teams files were graded as outstanding or good. Considering the changes following our transformation this maintenance of standards is very positive. Audit findings are overwhelmingly positive about our practitioners' approaches to engaging children and young people (50/62 comments). Positive relationships are at the heart of our restorative practice model and are vital for children to feel able to share their wishes, feelings and lived experience with their allocated practitioner.
9. Most assessments and review reports identify worries and what needs to change well (53 positive comments out of 63). This is vital to ensure that we understand the risks our children are exposed to and can make informed decisions to reduce or mitigate them. The majority and over two thirds of interventions are based on good quality assessments.
10. Four fifths of comments made by auditors show that planning for our children is driven by their wishes and feelings and takes their lived experience into account. Our practitioners are taking decisive action where worries either increase or decrease.
11. We have recently launched a revised survey to gather feedback from families and professionals on the child protection planning process. An analysis of the responses received so far shows that:
 - a. 77% felt that the plan had a positive impact on the child.
 - b. 55% felt that the plan had a positive impact on parents.
 - c. 68% felt that the plan had a positive impact on families.
 - d. 86% felt that agencies worked together effectively.
12. The BRFC/supporting families programme addresses multiple disadvantages through a whole family approach.
13. In recent analysis of BRFC files most auditors highlighted practical support from a range of appropriate services and agencies. This remains consistent with the findings from the last report (Apr'20 to Sept'20). Support identified covered the whole range of BRFC criteria and included formal programmes, one to one session with professionals and provision of household items.

Areas we are working to improve

14. The Safeguarding Partnership recognises the improvements that have been achieved in training staff which positively impacts on

understanding and awareness about neglect. We however need to improve the use of GCP2 assessment tool in practice across all tiers of services and agencies. We know that approximately 28% of children known to our tier 4 social care services are so due to neglect. A further 34.8% are known due to family dysfunction, within which the primary indicators are domestic violence and neglect. Over 41% of children in our care have been known to us due to neglect, and majority of those children are within the age range of 10-15 years of age. This shows that if every agency like school, health, police and voluntary services develop capacities to identify early signs of neglect and are able to provide early intervention and support, we will be able to support children within families and communities more effectively.

15. The use of GCP2 will assist in early identification of neglect. We have a robust partnership approach for family support in Staffordshire, which will then be able to support families at the earliest opportunity. Children's transformation has put families first, at the heart of everything we do. Transformation needs to embed within the service so that district and central services work together around our place-based approach to service delivery. Our recent efforts have been negatively impacted by COVID and staffing issues within children's social care, however we are working to identify immediate, mid-long-term solutions to workforce issues. Our safeguarding partnership is working to bring together various strategic groups working on related priorities together so that we can demonstrate a joined-up approach. All these efforts are aimed to make a positive impact on the lived experiences of children and families in Staffordshire.

Link to Strategic Plan

16. The agenda sits within our SCC corporate delivery plan under embedding the restorative and place-based approach for families and communities. It is also a priority for the strategic delivery plan for Staffordshire Children's Safeguarding Board for 2022-23.

List of Background Documents/Appendices:

None

Contact Details

Report Author: Nisha Gupta
Job Title: Assistant Director Children's Social Care
Telephone No.:
E-Mail Address: Nisha.gupta@staffordshire.gov.uk

WORK PROGRAMME

Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee – 2022/2023

This document sets out the work programme for the Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee for 2022/2023.

The Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee is responsible for scrutinising: children and adults' safeguarding; community safety and Localism. The Council has three priority outcomes. This Committee is aligned to the outcome: The people of Staffordshire will feel safer, happier and more supported in and by their community.

We review our work programme at every meeting. Sometimes we change it - if something comes up during the year that we think we should investigate as a priority. Our work results in recommendations for the County Council and other organisations about how what they do can be improved, for the benefit of the people and communities of Staffordshire.

Councillor Bob Spencer

Chairman of the Safeguarding Overview and Scrutiny Committee

If you would like to know more about our Work Programme or how to raise issues for potential inclusion on a Work Programme, then please contact Helen Phillips, Scrutiny and Support Officer (helen.phillips@staffordshire.gov.uk)

Work Programme 2022/2023

Date of Meeting	Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome
16 June 2022 10.00am	Community Safety & the Outcome of the Fishmonger Hall Investigation Cabinet Member: Victoria Wilson Lead Officer: Trish Caldwell	Findings from the Fishmonger Hall incident showed there had been inadequate management of Usman Khan. Members want to satisfy themselves that changes have been made to prevent further such incidents. This also impacts on the Committee's role as the designated crime and disorder panel.	
	Low Level Neglect Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Nisha Gupta	Suggested by the Cabinet Member at 17 June meeting to look at impact of long-term low-level neglect, the current changes to ways of working and challenges that remain.	
	Work programme Planning Lead Officer: Helen Phillips	Within the remit of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee, begin planning the work programme for 2022-23.	
19 July 2022 10.00 am	Customer Feedback & Complaints Annual report – Children's Social Care Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Kate Bullivant	Report brought annually	
	Customer Feedback & Complaints Annual report – Adults Social Care	Report brought annually	

Work Programme 2022/2023

Date of Meeting	Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome
Page 13	Cabinet Member: Julia Jessel Lead Officer: Kate Bullivant		
	Draft Early Help Strategy Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer:	Draft Early Help Strategy for pre-decision scrutiny	
	Family Hub Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Ruth Blunn-Jennings	Pre-decision scrutiny	
1 September 2022 10.00 am	Children's Services Transformation Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Helen Riley	The new Children's Transformation went live on 1 October 2021. More than six months on this is an opportunity for Members to seek reassurance that it is delivering as intended, including on SEND.	
	MacAlister Report Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Helen Riley	Suggested at 17 June meeting – looking at the report's proposals and how they impact on Staffordshire's Children's Services November date for this suggested at 3 Aug Triangulation	

Work Programme 2022/2023

Date of Meeting	Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome
	<p>The LAs role in the Prevent Partnership & Feedback from the Commissioner's 28 June meeting Cabinet Member: Victoria Wilson Lead Officer: Trish Caldwell</p>	<p>Originally scheduled for 4 November meeting but moved back awaiting publication of the final report. Final report published on 23 May 2022</p> <p>As part of their Crime and Disorder role the Committee wish to scrutinise the methods the LA has in addressing community safety. They also requested feedback from the Commissioner's meeting with partners around learning from the Fishmonger hall inquest.</p>	
<p>4 Nov 2022 10.00 am Page 14</p>	<p>Staffordshire Safeguarding Children's Board Annual Report Independent Chair: Sue Barnsley Lead Officer: Lynn Milligan</p>	<p>Report brought annually.</p>	
<p>5 January 2023 10.00am</p>	<p>Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Adult Safeguarding Partnership Board (SSASPB) – Annual Report Independent Chair: John Wood Lead Officer: Helen Jones</p>	<p>Report brought annually.</p>	
<p>16 Feb 2023</p>			

Work Programme 2022/2023

Date of Meeting	Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome
10.00 am			
20 April 2023 10.00 am			
tbc	Early Intervention & prevention Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Helen Riley	Suggested by the Cabinet Member at 17 June meeting.	
tbc Page 15	SEND Review Cabinet Members: Mark Sutton & Jonathan Price Lead Officer: Tim Moss & Helen Riley	Suggested by the Cabinet Member at 17 June meeting. Responding to the Review and moving forward.	
tbc	Governance Model Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Helen Riley	Suggested by the Cabinet Member at 17 June meeting. Considering how to work better together. Trying to develop a better and more effective governance model.	
tbc	Regional Permanency Partnership Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Scott Crawford & Jo Sullivan	Previously considered at 6 July O&S Committee where Members requested details of how the partnership progresses and specifically the two pilot projects TESSA and Mockingbird.	
tbc	Family Hubs – progress and further developments post public consultation Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton	The development of the Family Hub Model was considered at 6 July 2021 where Members asked to be kept aware of developments and the outcome of the public consultation	

Work Programme 2022/2023

Date of Meeting	Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome
	Lead Officers: Jo Sullivan		

Items for Consideration – Work Programme 2022/2023

Suggested Item	Details (Background)	Proposed Date of Meeting

Standing Items 2022/2023

Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome
Crime & Disorder Cabinet Member: Victoria Wilson Lead Officer: Janene Cox/Trish Caldwell Page 16	This O&S Committee is the LAS designated Crime and Disorder Panel. Following discussions with the Chairman and Officers from the PFCC and the Cabinet Member and Officers responsible for community safety, it was agreed that the Chairman and Vice Chairmen will meet with the Cabinet Member and Officers after each Safer and Stronger Communities Strategy Group (SSCSG) to gain an overview of community safety within the County and identify areas for further scrutiny as appropriate.	Chairman and Vice-Chairman briefings on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thursday 19 May (at the conclusion of Full Council) to brief on performance discussed at the 18 May 2022 SSCSG Tuesday 13 September to brief on performance discussed at the 12 September 2022 SSCSG
Children Improvement Board (CIB) Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Helen Riley	The Chairman attends the CIB on behalf of the O&S Committee and feeds back developments to Members at each meeting as part of the work programme agenda item. CIB scheduled dates: 28.04.22; 25.05.22; 30.06.22; 20.07.22;	

Standing Items 2022/2023

Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome
	25.08.22; 29.09.22; 27.10.22; 24.11.22; 22.12.22	
Themes emerging from Serious Case Reviews Cabinet Member: Mark Sutton Lead Officer: Helen Riley	Where Serious Case Reviews have taken place the Overview & Scrutiny Committee will consider any learning that can be taken from the Review	Some areas picked up by the DHR review process

Briefing Notes / Updates / Visits 2022/2023

Date	Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome

Working Groups / Inquiry Days 2022/2023

Date	Item	Details (Background)	Action / Outcome

Membership – County Councillors 2022-2023

Bob Spencer (Chairman)
 Gill Burnett (Vice Chairman - Overview)
 Richard Ford (Vice Chairman - Scrutiny)
 Janet Eagland
 Derrick Huckfield
 Jason Jones
 Johnny McMahon
 Gillian Pardesi
 Kath Perry
 Mike Wilcox

Calendar of Committee Meetings - 2022-2023

16 June 2022 at 10.00 am
 19 July 2022 at 10.00 am
 1 September 2022 at 10.00 am
 24 November 2022 at 10.00 am
 5 January 2023 at 10.00 am
 16 February 2023 at 10.00 am
 20 April 2023 at 10.00 am
 Meetings usually take place in the Oak rm, County Buildings

